Note: This writeup focuses on Amazon's original business: first-party retailing. I use the company's pre-2016 results because more recent financial statements include operations like third-party retailing, logistics, advertising and AWS, which have different business models. If you have questions or comments, please reach out to me at turtlebay.io.
"When forced to choose between optimizing the appearance of our GAAP accounting [profits] and maximizing the present value of our future cash flows, we'll take the cash flows." Jeff Bezos wrote this in his first letter to shareholders. It's been Amazon's North Star ever since.

Why run Amazon for cash flows rather than profits?

A business is worth the present value of its cash flows-not its profits. In most cases, you don't need to make this distinction. No-growth and slow-growth companies produce cash flows that roughly match earnings. Declining businesses may even generate cash flows that exceed profits as the balance sheet runs off. But fastgrowing businesses? Most fast-growing companies make large investments in working capital and fixed assets to support their increasing size. And these investments can cause cash flows to lag far behind reported profits.

Take Amazon's biggest competitor: Walmart. Walmart, the most successful retailer of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, grew sales from $\$ 3$ billion to $\$ 100$ billion in just 14 years. Over those 14 years, they increased earnings from $\$ 100$ million to $\$ 3$ billion and made $\$ 20$ billion in total profits. But did Walmart produce any cash? No. They had to invest $\$ 23$ billion in new capital to fund their growth, which led to an overall cash outflow of $\$ 3$ billion.

| WALMART, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in millions) $\quad$ Year ended January 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{1997}$ | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{1995}$ | $\underline{1994}$ | $\underline{1993}$ | $\underline{1992}$ | 1991 | 1990 | $\underline{1989}$ | $\underline{1988}$ | $\underline{1987}$ | $\underline{1986}$ | $\underline{1985}$ | $\underline{1984}$ | $\underline{1983}$ |
| Revenues $\qquad$ year-over-year | $\begin{array}{r} 106,146 \\ 12 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94,749 \\ 14 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83,412 \\ 23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67,986 \\ 21 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55,985 \\ 26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44,289 \\ 35 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32,863 \\ 26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25,985 \\ 25 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,786 \\ 29 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16,064 \\ 34 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,994 \\ 41 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,507 \\ 32 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,453 \\ 37 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,703 \\ 38 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,399 \\ 38 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Gross profit $\qquad$ <br> gross margin | $\begin{array}{r} 21,196 \\ 20 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,063 \\ 20 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,908 \\ 20 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,901 \\ 21 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,309 \\ 20 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,101 \\ 21 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,102 \\ 22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,741 \\ 22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,592 \\ 22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,678 \\ 23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,856 \\ 24 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,090 \\ 25 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,678 \\ 26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,249 \\ 27 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 918 \\ 27 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Operating profit $\qquad$ operating margin | $\begin{gathered} 5,722 \\ 5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,247 \\ 6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,968 \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,209 \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,489 \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,819 \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,211 \\ 7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,846 \\ 7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,461 \\ 7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,183 \\ 7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 933 \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 660 \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 549 \\ 9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 392 \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 263 \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Net profit ......................................... | 3,056 | 2,740 | 2,681 | 2,333 | 1,995 | 1,608 | 1,291 | 1,076 | 837 | 628 | 450 | 327 | 271 | 196 | 124 |
| Noncash expenses ............................. | 1,195 | 1,077 | 952 | 795 | 697 | 505 | 365 | 297 | 229 | 168 | 153 | 108 | 76 | 59 | 46 |
| Operatng cash flow $\qquad$ <br> Working capital decrease (increase) | $\begin{aligned} & 4,251 \\ & 1,679 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,817 \\ (1,434) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,633 \\ (727) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,128 \\ (933) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,692 \\ (1,414) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,114 \\ (757) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,656 \\ (360) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,373 \\ (506) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,066 \\ (332) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 796 \\ (336) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 603 \\ (338) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 435 \\ \quad(6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 347 \\ (270) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 255 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \\ & (47) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Net operating cash flow $\qquad$ <br> Less: capital expenditures $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,930 \\ (2,643) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,383 \\ (3,566) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,906 \\ (3,734) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,195 \\ (3,644) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,278 \\ (3,756) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,357 \\ (1,805) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,296 \\ (1,388) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 867 \\ (955) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 734 \\ (593) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 459 \\ (528) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 265 \\ (404) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 430 \\ (351) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ (213) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 254 \\ (110) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & (86) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Free cash flow ............................. | 3,287 | $(1,183)$ | (828) | $(1,448)$ | $(2,478)$ | (449) | (92) | (88) | 141 | (69) | (138) | 79 | (136) | 143 | 38 |

How about Amazon? Like Walmart, Amazon grew sales from $\$ 3$ billion to $\$ 100$ billion over 14 years. Unlike Walmart, though, Amazon's earnings bounced between a few hundred million in gains and losses. They also made just $\$ 5$ billion in total profits-one-quarter of Walmart's. Yet Amazon's free cash flow increased from minus $\$ 170$ million to over $\$ 7$ billion, and it produced $\$ 25$ billion in cash.

| AMAZON.COM, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in millions) $\quad$ Year ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{2015}$ | $\underline{2014}$ | $\underline{2013}$ | $\underline{2012}$ | $\underline{2011}$ | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2009}$ | $\underline{2008}$ | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ | $\underline{2004}$ | $\underline{2003}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | $\underline{2001}$ |
| Net sales $\qquad$ year-over-year | $\begin{array}{r} 107,006 \\ 20 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88,988 \\ 20 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74,452 \\ 22 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,093 \\ 27 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,077 \\ 41 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,204 \\ 40 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,509 \\ 28 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,166 \\ 29 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,835 \\ 39 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,711 \\ 26 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,490 \\ 23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,921 \\ 31 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,264 \\ 34 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,933 \\ 26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,122 \\ 13 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Gross profit $\qquad$ gross margin | $\begin{array}{r} 35,355 \\ 33 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,236 \\ 29 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,271 \\ 27 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,122 \\ 25 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,789 \\ 22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,643 \\ 22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,531 \\ 23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,270 \\ 22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,353 \\ 23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,456 \\ 23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,039 \\ 24 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,602 \\ 23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,257 \\ 24 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 993 \\ & 25 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 799 \\ 26 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Operating profit $\qquad$ <br> operating margin | $\begin{gathered} 2,404 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 311 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 859 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 835 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,016 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,512 \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,231 \\ 5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 818 \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ | 664 <br> 4\% | $\begin{gathered} 399 \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 479 \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 432 \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 273 \\ 5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (50) \\ -2 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Net profit $\qquad$ <br> Noncash expenses | $\begin{array}{r} 596 \\ 8,767 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} (241) \\ 6,109 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 274 \\ 4.434 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (39) \\ 2.696 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 631 \\ 1.808 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,152 \\ 762 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 902 \\ & 779 \end{aligned}$ | $645$ | $\begin{array}{r} 476 \\ 97 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $190$ | $359$ | $\begin{gathered} 588 \\ (126) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 299 \end{array}$ | (149) $284$ | (567) $412$ |
| Operating cash flow | 9,363 | 5,868 | 4,708 | 2,657 | 2,439 | 1,914 | 1,681 | 983 | 573 | 418 | 580 | 462 | 335 | 135 | (155) |
| Working capital decrease (increase) ....... | 2,557 | 974 | 767 | 1,523 | 1,464 | 1,581 | 1,612 | 714 | 832 | 284 | 153 | 104 | 57 | 40 | 36 |
| Net operating cash flow $\qquad$ <br> Less: capital expenditures $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,920 \\ & (4,589) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,842 \\ (4,893) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,475 \\ (3,444) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,180 \\ (3,785) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,903 \\ (1,811) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,495 \\ (979) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,293 \\ (373) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,697 \\ (333) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,405 \\ (224) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 702 \\ (216) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 733 \\ (204) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 567 \\ (89) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 392 \\ & (46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 174 \\ (39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (120) \\ (50) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Free cash flow .......................... | 7,331 | 1,949 | 2,031 | 395 | 2,092 | 2,516 | 2,920 | 1,364 | 1,181 | 486 | 529 | 477 | 346 | 135 | (170) |

Given that (a) a business is worth the present value of its cash flows and (b) both companies grew at the same rate, which is worth more:

- $\$ 20$ billion in profits and $\$ 3$ billion in cash outflows.
- $\$ 5$ billion in profits and $\$ 25$ billion in cash inflows.

Are there other reasons to focus on cash flows rather than profits? Yes.

## Capital Efficiency

Growth depends on (a) a company's profits relative to its shareholders' equity and (b) the percentage of those profits that it retains. A business that, for instance, earns $10 \%$ on equity and pays no dividends will grow by $10 \%$. Anything above $10 \%$ requires the company to issue more debt or raise new equity. Amazon, which operates with negative invested capital (see endnote), doesn't need to make this tradeoff. They can grow as fast as they want without retaining earnings or raising money. Consider that Amazon self-funded the growth of its first-party retail operations and still produced enough surplus cash to expand into other businesses, including some that require large capital investments.

## Operating Efficiency

Three things determine a business's return on shareholders' equity: (1) sales relative to invested capital; (2) the profit margin on those sales; and (3) the amount of leverage used to finance invested capital. ${ }^{1}$ Companies with lots of sales per dollar of invested capital can operate with low margins and still earn high returns on equity. Amazon, which produces billions in sales with negative invested capital, can earn high returns with near-breakeven margins. This lets them underprice competing retailers that need fatter margins to offset large capital investments. Amazon, in fact, generates more cash per incremental sales dollar at a breakeven margin (around 10\%) than most retailers make in pretax margin (below 10\%).


## Tax Efficiency

Cash flows consist of (a) profits, (b) working capital and (c) fixed asset investment relative to depreciation charges. Most companies generate their cash flows from earnings. Amazon, on the other hand, produces its cash flows mostly from working capital. And cash from working capital, unlike earnings, isn't subject to federal income taxes. It also uses its large non-first-party-retailing fixed asset investments, which benefit from favorable tax treatment, to reduce or defer the small amount of taxes that it does owe. The result: from 2001 to 2015, Amazon paid just $\$ 1$ billion in taxes on its $\$ 46$ billion in pretax net operating cash flow.

| (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{2015}$ | $\underline{2014}$ | $\underline{2013}$ | $\underline{2012}$ | $\underline{2011}$ | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2009}$ | $\underline{2008}$ | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ | $\underline{2004}$ | $\underline{2003}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | $\underline{2001}$ |
| Income tax provision (benefit) .................. | 950 | 167 | 161 | 428 | 291 | 352 | 253 | 247 | 184 | 187 | 95 | (233) | - | - | - |
| Less: noncash tax adjustments ................... | (677) | 10 | 8 | (316) | (258) | (277) | (205) | (194) | (160) | (172) | (83) | 237 | - | - | - |
| Cash taxes paid $\qquad$ cash taxes paid to pretax net operating cash flow | $\begin{gathered} 273 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-} 0 \%$ | - $0 \%$ | - $0 \%$ |

Amazon grew to become one of the most valuable companies in the world. They expanded their first-party retailing operations and entered new businesses, including some that required large capital investments. And they became one of the most formidable competitors in retailing. Yet Amazon never produced sizable profits, raised equity, used large amounts of debt or paid lots of taxes. How did they do it? They did it by focusing on cash flows rather than profits.

[^0]| AMAZON.COM, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in millions) | Sources \& Uses of Cash |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operating Cash Flows | Working Capital | Capital Expenditures | Acquisitions | Debt | Repurchases |
| 2002 | 135 | 40 | (39) | - | (15) | - |
| 2003 | 335 | 57 | (46) | 5 | (495) | - |
| 2004 | 462 | 104 | (89) | (71) | (157) | - |
| 2005 | 580 | 153 | (204) | (24) | (259) | - |
| 2006 | 418 | 284 | (216) | (32) | (285) | (252) |
| 2007 | 573 | 832 | (224) | (75) | (50) | (248) |
| 2008 | 983 | 714 | (333) | (494) | (268) | (100) |
| 2009 | 1,681 | 1,612 | (373) | (40) | (385) | - |
| 2010 | 1,914 | 1,581 | (979) | (352) | (78) | - |
| 2011 | 2,439 | 1,464 | $(1,811)$ | (705) | (267) | (277) |
| 2012 | 2,657 | 1,523 | $(3,785)$ | (745) | 2,790 | (960) |
| 2013 | 4,708 | 767 | $(3,444)$ | (312) | (617) | - |
| 2014 | 5,868 | 974 | $(4,893)$ | (979) | 4,426 | - |
| 2015 | 9,363 | 2,557 | $(4,589)$ | (795) | $(3,882)$ | - |
|  | 32,116 | 12,662 | $(21,025)$ | $(4,619)$ | 457 | $(1,837)$ |

## Note on Invested Capital

Invested Capital $=$ Working Capital + Fixed Assets

How does Amazon operate with negative invested capital? Amazon doesn't need a lot of assets to support its sales: they extend no credit to customers, maintain just 30 days of inventory and turn fixed assets 20 times a year. They, too, offset what assets are needed with over 100 days of operating liabilities*, including accounts payable, accrued expenses, unearned revenues and deferred taxes. These liabilities function as an interest-free revolving loan: Amazon's suppliers, vendors, employees, customers and the government effectively lend the company more than enough cash to fund its operations.
*For example: In 2015, Amazon had $\$ 107$ billion in sales, $\$ 20$ billion in payables, $\$ 3$ billion in deferred revenues and $\$ 10$ billion in accrued expenses, giving them 113 days' worth of operating liabilities at year-end [ ( $\$ 33$ billions in operating liabilities / $\$ 107$ billion in sales $) * 365$ days $=113$ days of operating liability float].


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For example: ( $\$ 10$ million in sales / \$20 million in invested capital) * (\$2 million in net profits / \$10 million in sales) * (\$20 million in invested capital / $\$ 10$ million in equity) $=20 \%$ return on equity.

